

COMCOM2033

computer communications

Computer Communications 00 (2001) 000-000

www.elsevier.com/locate/comcom

# TCP performance analysis and optimization over DMT based ADSL system

Xiaoning He\*, Hao Che

Department of Electrical Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Received 13 October 2000; revised 14 May 2001; accepted 29 May 2001

#### Abstract

This paper studies the transmission control protocol (TCP) performance over a discrete multi-tone (DMT) based asymmetric digital subscriber loop (ADSL) network. The impact of DMT subchannel bit loading on the TCP throughput performance is studied. The simulation results show that there is a threshold for the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) gap or bit error rate (BER) above which TCP throughput drops quickly. This threshold takes its value in a wide range depending on the TCP round-trip time as well as channel noises. This suggests that it would be insufficient to set a fixed target BER at, e.g.  $10^{-7}$ , when calculating the number of bits to be loaded in each subchannels. Instead, the bit loading should take TCP performance into account. Finally a dynamic bit loading scheme is proposed, which jointly optimizes the channel bit rate and TCP throughput performance. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Asymmetric digital subscriber loop; Discrete multi-tone; Water-filling; Transmission control protocol; Performance analysis

#### 1. Introduction

As an emerging technology, asymmetric digital subscriber loop (ADSL) has attracted a lot of attention due to its ability to deliver broadband access over the traditional telephone lines. However, unlike a backbone network based on fiber optics technology with a bit error rate (BER) on the order of  $10^{-11}$ – $10^{-13}$ , an ADSL system has to live with potentially high and variable BER, ranging from  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-9}$ . Except the ADSL channel characteristics, four major noises contribute to the high and variable BER, including white Gaussian noise, far end crosstalk (FEXT), near end crosstalk (NEXT), and impulse noise.

Tremendous research efforts have been made in the analysis of the impact of channel noises on the ADSL performance, e.g.[10,15], and in the design of loading and dynamic loading algorithms to optimize the channel performance [7,9]. Of particular interest is the rate-adaptive (RA) loading algorithm [9] for ADSL systems based on discrete multi-tone (DMT) modulation. The RA loading algorithm maximizes the overall bit rate subject to a fixed energy constraint and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) gap or BER.

Most of the data applications are built on top of the transmission control protocol (TCP). Therefore, in parallel to the

Corresponding author.

0140-3664/01/\$ - see front matter @ 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0140-3664(01)00368-1

Computer Communications - Model 5 - Ref style 1 - AUTOPACINATION 2 01-08-2001 12:34

above development, research effort has been made on the study of the performance of TCP over asymmetric and lossy channels [1,14,16]. By assuming that the channel bit rates in both directions are given, these papers investigate the effects of buffering [16], asymmetry [4], and random loss or BER [5,18] on TCP throughput performance.

However, none of the studies mentioned above considered both the physical layer (ADSL) and the upper layer (TCP) performance simultaneously. Existing TCP performance papers mentioned above assume the underlying channel conditions are given. For instance, by setting BER at  $10^{-7}$  and the maximum bit rates at 8 Mbps downstream and 800 kbps upstream, respectively, the TCP performance can then be independently evaluated regardless of the actual underlying ADSL processes. In reality, however, the maximum bit rates are complicated functions of BER as well as subchannel SNRs, which may change from time to time. Hence, analyzing TCP performance over ADSL should take the physical channel processes into account. On the other hand, the research on the loading and dynamic loading algorithm design for DMT modulation did not take higher layer performance into account. Although it is mentioned in Ref. [9] that some higherlayer entity may arbitrate when the reloading should occur for dynamic loading, the question as to which higher layer entity and how a higher layer entity makes the reloading decision is not addressed. Since the objective of

Alden

E-mail address: xxh113@psu.edu (X. He).

113 performance optimization at any given layer is to deliver the 114 best service to its upper layer, TCP performance should be 115 the ultimate performance measure for applications using 116 TCP as their underlying protocol.

117 In this paper, the performance analysis of TCP over 118 ADSL is performed by taking into account of the underlying 119 ADSL processes. This approach enables us to find the true 120 TCP performance limit for different standard ADSL test 121 loops and under various noise conditions. The performance 122 analysis further leads to the development of a bit loading 123 scheme which jointly optimizes channel bit rate and TCP 124 throughput performance. The idea is first to take BER as a 125 variable, rather than a fixed target, and run the RA bit load-126 ing algorithm [9] to find the functional relationship between 127 the maximum bit rate and BER. Then locate an operating 128 point on the curve of the maximum bit rate versus BER, 129 which maximizes the TCP throughput performance. Finally, 130 load the subchannels with the energies or numbers of bits 131 per symbol calculated at this operating point. Obviously, at 132 this operating point, both physical layer and TCP through-133 put performance are jointly optimized.

134 The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 135 presents a background introduction to DMT based ADSL 136 systems. Section 3 presents the performance evaluation of 137 TCP performance on two test loops of a ADSL system. 138 Section 4 describes a joint optimization scheme to maxi-139 mize the TCP performance. Finally, Section 5 concludes 140 the paper and presents a future research direction. 141

#### 2. Background introduction

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

This section gives the necessary background on the ADSL technology, DMT modulation, and TCP protocol.

#### 2.1. Asymmetric digital subscriber line

ADSL is a standardized transmission technology facilitating simultaneous use of normal telephone services and data transmission. ADSL can be seen as a frequency division multiplexing (FDM) system in which the available bandwidth of a single copper-loop is divided into three subbands. The baseband of 4 kHz is used for analog voice telephony. The band between 25 and 138 kHz is for upstream data transmission. The band between 200 and 1100 kHz is for downstream data transmission. The lower cutoff frequency for downstream data can be extended down to the lower frequency of the upstream data if echo cancellation is used. According to ANSI standard, ADSL should run at a minimum of 6.144 Mbps downstream and 640 kbps upstream over the existing copper telephone lines [2,6].

163 Basically, two types of modulation schemes can be used 164 for ADSL modems: carrierless amplitude-phase (CAP) and 165 DMT. Since the DMT modulation technique is chosen by 166 ANSI as the standard modulation scheme for ADSL, in this 167 paper, we consider only DMT modulation. Interested read-168 ers can refer to Refs. [7,8] for more information about CAP.

#### 169 2.2. DMT

The basic idea of DMT is to divide the available band-171 width into a fixed number of N parallel, independent 172 subchannels. Quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) is 173 used for each subchannel. Different numbers of bits can 174 be assigned to different subchannels. Subchannels with 175 larger SNR carry more data and those with smaller SNR 176 carry less data. The algorithm which achieves the overall 177 maximized bit rate is the RA bit loading algorithm, which 178 will be introduced shortly. A detailed explanation of DMT 179 can be found in Ref. [7]. 180

Each subchannel's SNR is given by

$$SNR_{i} = \frac{E_{i}|H_{i}|^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}},$$
(1)
<sup>182</sup>
<sub>183</sub>
<sub>184</sub>

where  $E_i$  is signal energy of the *i*th subchannel,  $|H_i|^2$  is the power spectral density of the *i*th subchannel, and  $\sigma_i^2$  is the noise variance for the *i*th subchannel. Therefore, the number of bits per dimension (QAM has two dimensions) carried in the *i*th subchannel is given by

$$b_i = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\text{SNR}_i}{\Gamma} \right),$$
 (2) 191  
192

and the total number of bits,  $\bar{b}$ , that can be sent over the channel is the sum of the number of bits on the used subchannels,

$$\bar{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\mathrm{SNR}_i}{\Gamma} \right),\tag{3}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the SNR gap which measures the SNR loss from the theoretical maximum channel capacity. A 0 dB gap  $(\Gamma = 1)$  means that the channel capacity is achieved. With the symbol error probability  $P_{e}$  fixed,  $\Gamma$  is approximately a constant, independent of the number of bits per symbol with fixed minimum QAM distance. For QAM, we have

$$P_{\rm e} \simeq N_{\rm e} Q(\sqrt{3\Gamma}), \tag{4} 206$$

where  $N_{\rm e}$  is the number of nearest neighbors of an input signal constellation for the *i*th subchannel, and

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}/2 \, du}.$$
<sup>210</sup>
<sup>211</sup>
<sup>212</sup>

For uncoded QAM transmission,  $\Gamma$  is found to be 9.8 dB, which means that the SNR is reduced by that amount to achieve the probability of error of  $10^{-7}$ .  $\Gamma$  can be reduced by the coding gain [17].

Therefore, the achievable bit rate *B* can be calculated by dividing the total number of bits with the symbol period T:

222 Bit loading algorithms calculate the bit or energy distri-223 bution for subchannels. There are two types of loading algo-224 rithms: RA loading algorithm and margin-adaptive (MA)

170

181 182

184

185

186 187

188

189

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

207

208

209

213

214

215

216

217



Fig. 1. Network Model.

loading algorithm. The RA loading algorithm maximizes the data rate with given energy constraint and the MA loading algorithm minimizes the energy with given data rate. The MA loading algorithm is desirable when the data rate cannot be changed. Since in this paper, the focal point is on the identification of TCP performance limit over ADSL links, we only consider the RA loading algorithm.

#### 2.3. Rate-adaptive loading algorithm

The RA loading algorithm maximizes the number of bits per dimension subject to a fixed energy constraint:

$$\max_{E_i} \bar{b} = \sum_{i=1}^N \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{E_i g_i}{\Gamma} \right),$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i \le E_{\text{total}},$$

where  $g_i = |H_i|^2 / \sigma_i^2$ , which represents the SNR with a unit energy of a subchannel.

It is proven in Ref. [7] that the RA design objective is achieved when

$$E_i + \frac{\Gamma}{g_i} = \text{constant.}$$

The term 'water-filling' is used to describe this solution. The curve of  $\Gamma/g_i$  is being a bowl into which the water (energy) is poured, filling the curve until all the energy is used.

In the application of the RA loading algorithm to ADSL systems, the subchannel data rates can be any multiple of 4 kbps with RA loading. Usually in these systems, the gap is intentionally increased by 6 dB. With nominally 100-250 tones being used and average numbers of bits per tone of 2-9 bits, data rates from 1 Mbps — 9 Mbps are possible.

# 2.4. Noises

In this paper, we consider White Gaussian Noise, NEXT and FEXT but not the Impulse Noise, which is assumed to be removed by error correction codes. In what follows, we briefly describe NEXT and FEXT. 

#### 2.4.1. NEXT noise

NEXT is the noise caused by other transmitters at the

same end of the cable as the source. NEXT is usually considered for full duplex systems such as T1 originally deployed in the trunk network, the DSL used for ISDN Basic Access, and the HDSL used to support DS1 rate access. In general, the PSD of the NEXT can be expressed as

$$PSD_{NEXT} = PSD_{Disturber} x_n f^{3/2}$$
(6)

where  $x_n = 8.818 \times 10^{-14} (n/49)^{0.6}$  and *n* is the number of disturbers. The PSD<sub>Disturber</sub> is the PSD of the disturber. The PSDs of other disturbers used in this paper can be found from Ref. [2]:

For example, the PSD of an HDSL disturber can be expressed as [2]:

$$PSD_{HDSL} = K_{HDSL} \frac{2}{f_0} \frac{\left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi f}{f_0}\right)\right]^2}{\left(\frac{\pi f}{f_0}\right)^2} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_3 \text{ dB}}\right)^8}, \qquad (7)$$

where  $f_{3 \text{ dB}} = 196 \text{ kHz}$ ,  $f_0 = 392 \text{ kHz}$ ,  $K_{\text{HDSL}} = (5/9) \times (V_p^2/R)$ ,  $V_p = 2.70 \text{ V}$ , and  $R = 135 \Omega$ .

## 2.4.2. FEXT noise

FEXT is the noise effect of the other transmitters at the far end of the cable of the source. The effect of ADSL self-FEXT cannot be ignored. At high frequency range ADSL self-FEXT noise power can exceed that of HDSL NEXT and white background noise combined. In general, the PSD of the FEXT can be expressed as

$$PSD_{FEXT} = PSD_{Disturber} |H_{channel}(f)|^2 k l f^2$$
(8)

where  $H_{\text{channel}}(f)$  is the channel transfer function, k is defined as  $8 \times 10^{-20} (n/49)^{0.6}$  which is called the coupling constant, and *l* is the coupling path length.

#### 2.5. TCP congestion control protocol

In this paper, we study two versions of TCP congestion control protocols, i.e. TCP-Reno and TCP-Tahoe. TCP-Tahoe is the current standard version of TCP and TCP-Reno provides fast retransmission and reduces the number of the slow start occurrences.

#### 2.5.1. TCP-Tahoe

As it is explained in Ref. [18], in TCP-Tahoe, a packet loss is detected by a timer based on the estimated round trip time (RTT). When a packet loss is detected, the window size 

GL



393 W is reduced to one. In the slow start phase, the window grows rapidly for every successfully acknowledged packet 394 395 until it reaches half of the window size. The algorithm then switches to the congestion avoidance phase, probing for 396 397 extra bandwidth by incrementing the window size by one 398 for every window's worth of acknowledged packets. This 399 growth continues until another packet loss is detected, at which point another cycle begins. 400

401

402

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

## 2.5.2. TCP-Reno

403 TCP-Reno retransmits the packet after the number of 404 duplicate acknowledgements exceeds a threshold. However, 405 instead of cutting the window size back to one, it reduces the 406 window size by half. Further, in order to prevent a burst of 407 packets from being transmitted when the retransmission is 408 finally acknowledged, it temporarily permits new packets to 409 be transmitted with each repeated acknowledgement until the 'next expected' number in the acknowledgement 410 advances. 411

#### **3.** Simulation results and analyses

This section presents the performance analysis of TCP over ADSL based on simulation. We run simulations using ns-2 network simulator tool developed by VINT research group at University of California, Berkeley [13].

#### 3.1. Experiment setup

#### 3.1.1. Network model

423 The network topology is composed of three nodes: a 424 server, a central office and an end user, as shown in Fig. 425 1. The link between the end user and the central office 426 represents the ADSL local loop and the other error-free link is a simplified model of the links between the central 427 428 office and the server across the backbone networks. The 429 server and the end-user communicate using TCP. We use 430 a file transfer protocol (FTP) connection to generate data packets from the server to the end-user, and assume that the 431 432 server has always packets to send. Each data packet is 433 assumed to be 8000 bit-long. The end-user does not send 434 any data packets but just sends acknowledgements back to 435 the server.

The connection between the server and the central office 436 has fixed bandwidth of 50 Mbps which is at least around five 437 438 times higher than the ADSL link supporting up to 10 Mbps 439 data rate downstream. The data rate ratio between ADSL 440 downstream and upstream is assumed to be 8:1. The length of the link between the central office and the server is chan-441 442 ged to run the simulation at different RTT. A 20 ms Reed-443 Solomon coding/decoding delay is assumed to be added to 444 the RTT. The central office has a finite size first in first out 445 (FIFO) buffer. The size of the buffer is proportional to the 446 bandwidth-delay product of the network [18]. Here, the bandwidth refers to the channel rate of the ADSL link and 447 the delay in RTT. 448

449 Table 1 TCP throughput with different RTT (unit: Mbps) 450

Reno/Tahoe	
Test Loop: T1.601	Test Loop: CSA #4
2.31/2.04	7.42/6.65
2.16/1.95	3.24/2.89
1.57/1.41	1.66/1.50
0.72/0.61	0.73/0.63
0.16/0.15	0.16/0.15
	Reno/Tahoe Test Loop: T1.601 2.31/2.04 2.16/1.95 1.57/1.41 0.72/0.61 0.16/0.15

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486 487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

4

4

Δ

4

4

#### 460 3.1.2. ADSL link model

In this study, we use two standard ADSL test loops and their transfer functions [3] to characterize the ADSL links, as shown in Fig. 2.

The first test loop, T1.601 Loop #7, has a bridged tap with 13 500 feet in length and a American wire gauge (AWG) twisted pair cable is used. The second test loop, CSA Loop #4, has three bridged taps with 7600 feet in length and a 26 AWG twisted pair cable is used. The CSA Loop #4 is substantially shorter than T1.601 #7 and is generally used as a test loop for very high speed DSL. Here we use it as an 471 extreme case for ADSL. 472

Assume that the system will drop those packets which have bits in error that cannot be corrected. We consider a



Fig. 4. TCP performance with different types of crosstalk.

scenario where packets incur random loss, i.e. the bit error is generated independently for each bit based on the given BER and the error pattern is assumed to be the same for both the receiver and sender sides. As a result, the simulation results in our paper represents the worst-case TCP performance. In our simulation, we focus on studying the impact of the TCP RTT time since the RTT can be changed significantly between different TCP sessions. Other parameters like TCP SCK and MSS are usually pre-configured so that have little impact on the different TCP sessions.

#### 3.2. Simulation results and analysis

Throughout the simulation studies, we assume that the input power is fixed at 20 mW, the background additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is fixed at -140 dBm/Hz, and a powerful trellis code is used which achieves a 5 dB coding gain.

#### 3.2.1. Impact of RTT

Assume there is no crosstalk. The RA loading algorithm mentioned in the Section 2 results in optimal bit loading or maximum data rate at any given  $\Gamma$ . By changing  $\Gamma$  from 3 dB to 13 dB (or equivalently, the BER from  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-14}$ ), the maximum channel bit rate can be obtained from the RA bit loading algorithm. The TCP throughput under different maximum channel rates and BERs can then be calculated for different RTTs.

Fig. 3 shows the simulation results for both T1.601 Loop #7 and CSA Loop #4 when TCP-Reno is used. Interesting enough, for both cases, there is a sharp threshold BER beyond which TCP throughput starts to deviate from the maximum allowable bit rate of the channel and it drops quickly to zero as the BER further increases. This phenomenon is found in all the cases of different RTT values. Moreover, for RTT in between 40 ms and 2 s, the range in which the threshold occurs is pretty large, ranging from  $10^{-10}$  to  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-11}$  to  $10^{-7}$  for T1.601 Loop #7 and CSA Loop #4, respectively. This observation clearly demonstrates that care must be taken in bit loading to ensure that the selected operating point is below the threshold.

One possible solution is to select a safe  $\Gamma$  or sufficiently small BER for bit loading, say,  $BER = 10^{-11}$ . This conservative approach offers a simple solution at the expense of up to 15% TCP throughput loss. Another approach is to take advantage of the dynamic loading capability of DMT to achieve higher throughput performance. Since subchannel characteristics and SNRs may change with time, dynamic loading is desirable. In Section 4, we shall propose a scheme to enable dynamic loading.

To better observe the impact of RTT, Table 1 lists the TCP throughput for both TCP-Reno and TCP-Tahoe with fixed BER at  $10^{-7}$  and the corresponding maximum channel bit rates at 2.45 and 10.4 Mbps for T1.601 Loop #7 and CSA Loop #4, respectively. One observes that the TCP throughput drops rapidly as the RTT increases.

505

506

507

508 509

510

511

512 513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543 544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

616



Fig. 5. Optimization process.

#### 3.2.2. Impact of noises

In this section, we study the relatively impact of different noise types on the TCP throughput performance. The performance is evaluated with NEXT from HDSL and T1 lines as well as FEXT from other ADSL lines and end-to-end RTT is fixed at 100 ms. In all case studies, 24 disturbers are present. As the references, the maximum channel bit rates are also plotted. The results for both T1.601 Loop #7 and CSA Loop #4 are presented in Fig. 4.

One observes that these noises reduce the achievable channel bit rate and thus the TCP throughput. The dynamic range of the threshold due to different noise is much smaller than that due to the RTT difference. One also notes that T1 NEXT is the worst disturber for the throughput performance. This is due to the fact that the magnitude of the T1 NEXT PSD is significant over the entire downstream ADSL bandwidth.

# 4. Joint optimization of channel bit rate and TCP throughput

As we have seen from Section 3, due to the RTT and noise effects, the RA loading at a fixed  $\Gamma$  value or BER can result in poor TCP throughput performance. The problem can be compounded when the subchannel characteristics and SNRs change with time. Cioffi [9] proposed a dynamic RA loading algorithm assuming that some higher layer entity arbitrates when the adaptation should take place. However, the author did not address the issue as to which higher layer entity and how higher layer entity arbitrates. In this section, we propose a control scheme which jointly optimizes the channel bit rate and TCP throughput performance. The higher layer entity is TCP protocol at the transport layer.

The optimization problem can be formalized as:

$$\max_{P_e} \lambda(P_e, B(P_e)), \tag{9}$$

where  $\lambda$  is TCP throughput and  $B = B(P_e)$  is obtained from the water-filling algorithm. Obviously, the optimal solution  $\{B^*, P_e^*\}$  jointly maximizes the TCP throughput performance as well as the channel bit rate *B* at  $P_e = P_e^*$ .

The optimization process can be described as follows:

- 612
  1. Measure the subchannel characteristic *H<sub>i</sub>* and SNR<sub>i</sub>, and
  613
  614
  615
  615
  617
  618
  619
  619
  619
  610
  610
  610
  611
  612
  612
  613
  614
  614
  614
  614
  615
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  614
  6
- <sup>614</sup> 2. By changing  $\Gamma$  or  $P_e$  and using the water-filling algo-<sup>615</sup> rithm, find  $B = B(P_e)$ .
  - 3. Find  $B^* = B(P_e^*)$  which maximizes the TCP throughput  $\lambda$

under the measured RTT.

4. Load the bits or distribute energy to subchannels according to the solution of the water filling algorithm at  $\{B^*, P_e^*\}$ .

The control scheme can be also seen in Fig. 5.

623 The key issue is how to find the maximum TCP throughput. A searching of TCP throughput in the parameter space 624 625  $B = B(P_e)$  obtained from the water filling algorithm based on simulation is time consuming. Here, we propose to use an 626 analytical result on the TCP throughput by Lakshman and 627 Madhow [18]. Their result establishes the relationship 628 629 between B, Pe and RTT of a TCP session. Our numerical test of their approach against the simulation results showed 630 that their result is sufficiently accurate in capturing the 631 threshold of TCP throughput. 632

633 To locate the optimal point, the maximum TCP throughput is searched. Since there are no local maxima, any fast 634 standard search algorithms can be used. Each iteration 635 involves an iterative search of B at a given  $P_{\rm e}$  using 636 water-filling algorithm and an one-dimensional integral to 637 638 find  $\lambda$ . Our numerical analysis showed that the running time 639 is on the order of seconds on the SPARC-10 SUN workstation. 640

#### 5. Conclusions and future work

In this paper, the performance of TCP over DMT based ADSL is studied. In contrast to the previous papers, this study did not assume that the underlying ADSL channel conditions are fixed. Instead, it explicitly considered the impact of ADSL channel conditions on the TCP throughput performance. This study enabled us to identify the limit of TCP throughput performance under different standard ADSL test loops and various noise conditions. This study further lead to the design of a dynamic bit loading scheme which jointly optimizes the channel bit rate and TCP throughput performance.

However, the study only considered the TCP throughput as a performance measure. A possible extension of this work is to consider multiple classes of service. Results on providing multiple classes of service on the ADSL transmission line are available [11,12]. Our future work is to address the issue as to how the physical layer service differentiation is to be related to the higher layer service differentiation.

### References

- A. Kumar, Comparative performance analysis of versions of TCP in a local network with a lossy link, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking 6 (4) (1998) 485–498.
- [2] ANSI T1. 413-1998, Telecommunications network and customer installation interfaces — asymmetric digital subscriber line (ADSL) — metallic interface.
- [3] D.J. Rauschmayer, DSL/VDSL Principles, Macmillan Technical Publishing, New York, 1999.

617

622

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658 659 660

662 663

661

664

665 666

667

668

669

670

671

- [4] H. Afifi, O. Elloumi, G. Rubino, Dynamic delayed acknowledgement mechanism to improve TCP performance for asymmetric link, Proceedings of IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications, 1998, pp. 188–192.
- [5] H. Balakrishnan, V.N. Padmanabhan, S. Seshan, R.H. Katz, Comparison of mechanisms for improving TCP performance over wireless links, IEEE/ACM Transaction on Networking 5 (6) (1997) 756–769.
- [6] J.J. Werner, The HDSL environment, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas
  in Communications 9 (6) (1991) 785–800.
- [7] J.M. Cioffi, Asymmetric digital subscriber line, in: J. Gibson (Ed.), The Communication Handbook, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1997.
   [8] I.M. Cioffi A Multicarriar Prime Ameti Communications Corpora.
- [8] J.M. Cioffi, A Multicarrier Prime, Amati Communications Corpora tion and Stanford University.
- 684 [9] J.M. Cioffi, EE379B Digital Communication II: Coding Course
   685 Notes, chapter 6, http://www.stanford.edu/class/ee379b/, Stanford
   University.
- [10] J.T. Aslanis, J.M. Cioffi, Achievable information rates on digital subscriber loops: limiting information rates with crosstalk noise, IEEE Transactions on Communications 40 (2) (1992).
- [11] L.M.C. Hoo, J. Tellado, J.M. Cioffi, Dual QoS loading algorithms for
   DMT systems offering CBR and VBR services, Proceedings of
   GLOBECOM 1998, vol. 1 1998 pp. 25–30.

- [12] L.M.C. Hoo, J. Tellado, J.M. Cioffi, Dual QoS loading algorithms for multicarrier systems offering different CBR services, The Ninth IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, vol. 1 1998 pp. 278–282.
- [13] ns-2, Network Simulator, http://www-mash.cs.berkeley.edu/ns, University of California at Berkeley.
- [14] P.P. Mishra, D. Sanghi, S.K. Tripathi, T.C.P. Flow, Control in lossy networks: analysis and enhancement, Computer Networks, Architecture and Applications, IFIP Transactions C-13 (1997) 181–193.
- [15] P.S. Chow, J.M. Cioffi, J.A.C. Bingham, DMT-based ADSL: concept, architecture, and performance, IEE Colloquium on High speed Access Technology and Services, Including Video-on-Demand 1994.
- [16] S. Varma, Performance and buffering requirements of TCP applications in asymmetric networks, Proceedings of IEEE/ACM INFO-COM'99, vol. 3 1999 pp. 1548–1555.
- [17] T.N. Zogakis, J.T. Aslanis, J.M. Cioffi, A coded and shaped discrete multitone system, IEEE Transactions on Communications 43 (12) (1995) 2941–2949.
- [18] T.V. Lakshman, U. Madhow, The performance of TCP/IP for networks with high bandwidth — delay products and random loss, IEEE Transactions on Networking 5 (3) (1997) 336–350.