

# Recursion and Dynamic Programming

CSE 2320 – Algorithms and Data Structures  
Vassilis Athitsos  
University of Texas at Arlington

# Recursion

- Recursion is a fundamental concept in computer science.
- **Recursive algorithms**: algorithms that solve a problem by solving one or more smaller instances of the same problem.
- **Recursive functions**: functions that call themselves.
- **Recursive data types**: data types that are defined using references to themselves.
- Example?

# Recursion

- Recursion is a fundamental concept in computer science.
- **Recursive algorithms**: algorithms that solve a problem by solving one or more smaller instances of the same problem.
- **Recursive functions**: functions that call themselves.
- **Recursive data types**: data types that are defined using references to themselves.
- Example? Nodes in the implementation of linked lists.
- In all recursive concepts, there is one or more **base cases**. No recursive concept can be understood without understanding its base cases.
- What is the base case for nodes?

# Recursion

- Recursion is a fundamental concept in computer science.
- **Recursive algorithms**: algorithms that solve a problem by solving one or more smaller instances of the same problem.
- **Recursive functions**: functions that call themselves.
- **Recursive data types**: data types that are defined using references to themselves.
- Example? Nodes in the implementation of linked lists.
- In all recursive concepts, there is one or more **base cases**. No recursive concept can be understood without understanding its base cases.
- What is the base case for nodes?
  - A node pointing to NULL.

# Recursive Algorithms

- **Recursive algorithms**: algorithms that solve a problem by solving one or more smaller instances of the same problem.
- A recursive algorithm can always be implemented both using recursive functions, and without recursive functions.
- Example of a recursive function:

# Recursive Algorithms

- **Recursive algorithms**: algorithms that solve a problem by solving one or more smaller instances of the same problem.
- A recursive algorithm can always be implemented both using recursive functions, and without recursive functions.
- Example of a recursive function: the factorial.
  - How is factorial(3) evaluated?

## Recursive Definition:

```
int factorial(int N)
{
    if (N == 0) return 1;
    return N*factorial(N-1);
}
```

## Non-Recursive Definition :

```
int factorial(int N)
{
    int result = 1;
    int i;
    for (i = 2; i <= N; i++) result *= i;
    return result;
}
```

# Analyzing a Recursive Program

- Analyzing a recursive program involves answering two questions:
  - Does the program always terminate?
  - Does the program always compute the right result?
- Both questions are answered by induction.
- Example: does the factorial function on the right always compute the right result?
- Proof: by induction.

## Recursive Definition:

```
int factorial(int N)
{
    if (N == 0) return 1;
    return N*factorial(N-1);
}
```

# Analyzing a Recursive Program

- Proof: by induction.
- Step 1: (the base case)
  - For  $N = 0$ , `factorial(0)` returns 1, which is correct.
- Step 2: (using the inductive hypothesis)
  - Suppose that `factorial(N)` returns the right result for  $N = K$ , where  $K$  is an integer  $\geq 0$ .
  - Then, for  $N = K+1$ , `factorial(N)` returns:  
 $N * \text{factorial}(K) = N * K! = N * (N-1)! = N!$ .
  - Thus, for  $N = K+1$ , `factorial(N)` also returns the correct result.
- Thus, by induction, `factorial(N)` computes the correct result for all  $N$ .

## Recursive Definition:

```
int factorial(int N)
{
    if (N == 0) return 1;
    return N*factorial(N-1);
}
```

Where precisely was the inductive hypothesis used?

In substituting  $K!$  for `factorial(K)`.



# Guidelines for Designing Recursive Functions

- We should design recursive functions so that it is easy to convince ourselves that they are correct.
  - Strictly speaking, the only way to convince ourselves is a mathematical proof.
  - Loosely speaking, we should follow some guidelines to make our life easier.
- So, it is a good idea for our recursive functions to follow these rules:
  - They must explicitly solve one or more base cases.
  - Each recursive call must involve smaller values of the arguments, or smaller sizes of the problem.

# Example Violation of the Guidelines

```
int puzzle(int N)
{
    if (N == 1) return 1;
    if (N % 2 == 0)
        return puzzle(N/2);
    else return puzzle(3*N+1);
}
```

- How does this function violate the guidelines we just stated?

# Example Violation of the Guidelines

```
int puzzle(int N)
{
    if (N == 1) return 1;
    if (N % 2 == 0)
        return puzzle(N/2);
    else return puzzle(3*N+1);
}
```

How is puzzle(3)  
evaluated?

- How does this function violate the guidelines we just stated?
- The function does NOT always call itself with smaller values.
- Consequence: it is hard to prove if this function always terminates.
- **No one has actually been able to prove or disprove that!!!**

# Euclid's Algorithm

```
int gcd(int m, int n)
{
    if (n == 0) return m;
    return gcd(n, m % n);
}
```

- One of the most ancient algorithms.
- Computes the greatest common divisor of two numbers.
- It is based on the property that if  $T$  divides  $X$  and  $Y$ , then  $T$  also divides  $X \bmod Y$ .
- How is  $\text{gcd}(96, 36)$  evaluated?

# Euclid's Algorithm

```
int gcd(int m, int n)
{
    if (n == 0) return m;
    return gcd(n, m % n);
}
```

- One of the most ancient algorithms.
- Computes the greatest common divisor of two numbers.
- It is based on the property that if  $T$  divides  $X$  and  $Y$ , then  $T$  also divides  $X \bmod Y$ .
- How is  $\text{gcd}(96, 36)$  evaluated?
- $\text{gcd}(96, 36) = \text{gcd}(36, 24) = \text{gcd}(24, 12) = \text{gcd}(12, 0) = 12$ .

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Prefix expressions: they place each operand BEFORE its two arguments.
- Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + wait wait
- 7



# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* wait wait
- \* wait wait
- 4

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* wait wait
- \* 4 wait
- 6

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* wait wait
- \* 4 6 = 24

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* 24 wait
- + wait wait

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* 24 wait
- + wait wait
- 8

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* 24 wait
- + 8 wait
- 9

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* 24 wait
- + 8 9 = 17

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 wait
- \* 24 17 = 408



# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* wait wait
- + 7 408 = 415

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* 415 wait
- 5

# Evaluating Prefix Expressions

- Code for evaluating prefix expressions:

```
char *a; int i;
int eval()
{
    int x = 0;
    while (a[i] == ' ') i++;
    if (a[i] == '+')
        { i++; return eval() + eval(); }
    if (a[i] == '*')
        { i++; return eval() * eval(); }
    while ((a[i] >= '0') && (a[i] <= '9'))
        x = 10*x + (a[i++] - '0');
    return x;
}
```

Example: \* + 7 \* \* 4 6 + 8 9 5:

- \* 4 15 5 = 2075

# Recursive Vs. Non-Recursive Implementations

- In some cases, recursive functions are much easier to read.
- They make crystal clear the mathematical structure of the algorithm.
- To process recursive data types, such as nodes, oftentimes it is easy to write recursive functions.
- Example: **int count(link x)**
  - count how many links there are between x and the end of the list.
  - Recursive solution?
  - Base case? Recursive function?

# Recursive Vs. Non-Recursive Implementations

- In some cases, recursive functions are much easier to read.
- They make crystal clear the mathematical structure of the algorithm.
- To process recursive data types, such as nodes, oftentimes it is easy to write recursive functions.
- Example: **int count(link x)**
  - count how many links there are between x and the end of the list.
  - Recursive solution?  $\text{count}(x) = 1 + \text{count}(x \rightarrow \text{next})$
  - Base case:  $x = \text{NULL}$ . Recursive function:

```
int count(link x)
{ if (x == NULL) return 0;
  return 1 + count(x->next);
}
```

# Recursive Vs. Non-Recursive Implementations

- In some cases, recursive functions are much easier to read.
  - They make crystal clear the mathematical structure of the algorithm.
- To process recursive data types, such as nodes, oftentimes it is easy to write recursive functions.
- However, any recursive function can also be written in a non-recursive way.
- Oftentimes recursive functions run slower. Why?

# Recursive Vs. Non-Recursive Implementations

- In some cases, recursive functions are much easier to read.
  - They make crystal clear the mathematical structure of the algorithm.
- To process recursive data types, such as nodes, oftentimes it is easy to write recursive functions.
- However, any recursive function can also be written in a non-recursive way.
- Oftentimes recursive functions run slower. Why?
  - Recursive functions generate many function calls.
  - The CPU has to pay a price (perform a certain number of operations) for each function call.
- Non-recursive implementations are oftentimes somewhat uglier (and more buggy, harder to debug) but more efficient.
  - Compromise: make first version recursive, second non-recursive.

# Fibonacci Numbers

- $\text{Fibonacci}(0) = 0$
- $\text{Fibonacci}(1) = 1$
- If  $N \geq 2$ :
  - $\text{Fibonacci}(N) = \text{Fibonacci}(N-1) + \text{Fibonacci}(N-2)$
- How can we write a function that computes Fibonacci numbers?



# Fibonacci Numbers

- $\text{Fibonacci}(0) = 0$
- $\text{Fibonacci}(1) = 1$
- If  $N \geq 2$ :
  - $\text{Fibonacci}(N) = \text{Fibonacci}(N-1) + \text{Fibonacci}(N-2)$
- Consider this function: what is its running time?

```
int Fibonacci(int i)
{
    if (i < 1) return 0;
    if (i == 1) return 1;
    return F(i-1) + F(i-2);
}
```

# Fibonacci Numbers

- $\text{Fibonacci}(0) = 0$
- $\text{Fibonacci}(1) = 1$
- If  $N \geq 2$ :
  - $\text{Fibonacci}(N) = \text{Fibonacci}(N-1) + \text{Fibonacci}(N-2)$
- Consider this function: what is its running time?
  - $g(N) = g(N-1) + g(N-2) + \text{constant}$
  - $g(N) = O(\text{Fibonacci}(N)) = O(1.618^N)$
  - We cannot even compute  $\text{Fibonacci}(40)$  in a reasonable amount of time.

```
int Fibonacci(int i)
{
    if (i < 1) return 0;
    if (i == 1) return 1;
    return F(i-1) + F(i-2);
}
```

# Fibonacci Numbers

- $\text{Fibonacci}(0) = 0$
- $\text{Fibonacci}(1) = 1$
- If  $N \geq 2$ :
  - $\text{Fibonacci}(N) = \text{Fibonacci}(N-1) + \text{Fibonacci}(N-2)$
- Alternative: remember values we have already computed.

## linear version:

```
int Fibonacci(int i)
{
    int * F = malloc(sizeof(int) * (i+1));
    F[0] = 0;  F[1] = 1;
    int j;
    for (j = 2; j <= i; j++) F[j] = F[j-1] + F[j-2];
    return F[i];
}
```

## exponential version:

```
int Fibonacci(int i)
{
    if (i < 1) return 0;
    if (i == 1) return 1;
    return F(i-1) + F(i-2);
}
```

# Bottom-up Dynamic Programming

- The technique we have just used is called **bottom-up dynamic programming**.
- It is widely applicable, in a large variety of problems.

# Bottom-up Dynamic Programming

- Requirements for using dynamic programming:
  - The answer to our problem  $P$  can be easily obtained from answers to smaller problems.
  - We can order problems in a sequence  $(P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K)$  of reasonable size, so that:
    - $P_K$  is our original problem  $P$ .
    - The initial problems,  $P_0$  and possibly  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_R$  up to some  $R$ , are easy to solve (they are **base cases**).
    - For  $i > R$ , each  $P_i$  can be easily solved using solutions to  $P_0, \dots, P_{i-1}$ .
- If these requirements are met, we solve problem  $P$  as follows:
  - Create the sequence of problems  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K$ , such that  $P_K = P$ .
  - For  $i = 0$  to  $K$ , solve  $P_i$ .
  - Return solution for  $P_K$ .

# Bottom-up Dynamic Programming

- Requirements for using dynamic programming:
  - The answer to our problem  $P$  can be easily obtained from answers to smaller problems.
  - We can order problems in a sequence  $(P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K)$  of reasonable size, so that:
    - $P_K$  is our original problem  $P$ .
    - The initial problems,  $P_0$  and possibly  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_R$  up to some  $R$ , are easy to solve (they are **base cases**).
    - For  $i > R$ , each  $P_i$  can be easily solved using solutions to  $P_0, \dots, P_{i-1}$ .
- If these requirements are met, we solve problem  $P$  as follows:
  - Create the sequence of problems  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K$ , such that  $P_K = P$ .
  - For  $i = 0$  to  $K$ , solve  $P_i$ .
  - Return solution for  $P_K$ .

How can we relate all this terminology to the problem of computing Fibonacci numbers? 38

# Dynamic Programming for Fibonacci

- Requirements for using dynamic programming:
  - The answer to our problem  $P$  can be easily obtained from answers to smaller problems. **Yes!  $\text{Fib}(N) = \text{Fib}(N-1) + \text{Fib}(N-2)$**
  - We can order problems in a sequence  $(P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K)$  of reasonable size, so that:
    - $P_k$  is our original problem  $P$ .
    - The initial problems,  $P_0$  and possibly  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_R$  up to some  $R$ , are easy to solve (they are **base cases**).
    - For  $i > R$ , each  $P_i$  can be easily solved using solutions to  $P_0, \dots, P_{i-1}$ .
  - **Yes!**
    - $P_i$  is the problem of computing **Fibonacci(i)**.
    - $P_N$  is our problem, since we want to compute **Fibonacci(N)**.
    - $P_0, P_1$  are base cases.
    - For  $i \geq 2$ , **Fib(i)** is easy to solve given **Fib(0), Fib(1), ..., Fib(i-1)**.

# Dynamic Programming for Fibonacci

- If these requirements are met, we solve problem  $P$  as follows:
  - Create the sequence of problems  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K$ , such that  $P_K = P$ .
  - For  $i = 0$  to  $K$ , solve  $P_i$ .
  - Return solution for  $P_K$ .
- That is exactly what this function does.

## linear version:

```
int Fibonacci(int i)
{
    int * F = malloc(sizeof(int) * (i+1));
    F[0] = 0;
    F[1] = 1;
    int j;
    for (j = 2; j <= i; j++) F[j] = F[j-1] + F[j-2];
    return F[i];
}
```



# Bottom-Up vs. Top Down

- When the conditions that we stated previously are satisfied, we can use dynamic programming.
- There are two versions of dynamic programming.
  - Bottom-up.
  - Top-down.
- We have already seen how bottom-up works.
  - It solves problems in sequence, from smaller to bigger.
- Top-down dynamic programming takes the opposite approach:
  - Start from the larger problem, solve smaller problems as needed.
  - For any problem that we solve, **store the solution**, so we never have to compute the same solution twice.
- This approach is also called **memoization**.

# Top-Down Dynamic Programming

- Maintain an array where solutions to problems can be saved.
- To solve a problem P:
  - See if the solution has already been stored in the array.
- If so, just return the solution.
- Otherwise:
  - Issue recursive calls to solve whatever smaller problems we need to solve.
  - Using those solutions obtain the solution to problem P.
  - Store the solution in the solutions array.
  - Return the solution.

# Top-Down Solution for Fibonacci

- Textbook solution:

```
int F(int i)
{
    int t;
    if (knownF[i] != unknown) return knownF[i];
    if (i == 0) t = 0;
    if (i == 1) t = 1;
    if (i > 1) t = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
    return knownF[i] = t;
}
```

- This is a partial solution. Initialization of **known** is not shown.

# Top-Down Solution for Fibonacci

- General strategy:
- Create a top-level function that:
  - Creates memory for the array of solutions.
  - Initializes the array by marking that all solutions are currently "unknown".
  - Calls a helper function, that takes the same arguments, plus the solutions array.
- The helper function:
  - If the solution it wants is already computed, returns the solution.
  - If we have a base case, computes the result directly.
  - Otherwise: computes the result using recursive calls.
  - Stores the result in the solutions array.
  - Returns the result.
- How do we write these two functions for Fibonacci?

# Top-Level Function

```
int Fibonacci(int number)
{
    // Creating memory for the array of solutions.
    int * solutions = malloc(sizeof(int) * (number +1));
    int index;

    // Marking the solutions to all cases as "unknown".
    // We use the convention that -1 stands for "unknown".
    for (index = 0; index <= number; index++) solutions[index] = -1;

    int result = FibHelper(number, solutions);
    free(solutions);
    return result;
}
```

# Helper Function

```
int FibHelper(int N, int * solutions)
{
    // if problem already solved, return stored solution.
    if (solutions[N] != -1) return solutions[number];
    int result;

    if (N == 0) result = 0; // base case
    else if (N == 1) result = 1; // base case

    // recursive case
    else result = FibHelper(N-1, solutions) + FibHelper(N-2, solutions);

    solutions[number] = result; // memoization
    return result;
}
```

# The Knapsack Problem

- The Fibonacci numbers are just a toy example for dynamic programming, as they can be computed with a simple for loop.
- The classic problem for introducing dynamic programming is the **knapsack problem**.
  - A thief breaks in at the store.
  - The thief can only carry out of the store items with a total weight of  $W$ .
  - There are  $N$  types of items at the store. Each type  $T_i$  has a value  $V_i$  and a weight  $W_i$ .
  - What is the maximum total value items that the thief can carry out?
  - What items should the thief carry out to obtain this maximum value?
- We will make two important assumptions:
  - That the store has **unlimited quantities** of each item type.
  - That **the weight of each item is an integer  $\geq 1$** .

# Example

<b>item type:</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>weight:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>value</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>

- For example, suppose that the table above describes the types of items available at the store.
- Suppose that the thief can carry out a maximum weight of 17.
- What are possible combinations of items that the thief can carry out?
  - Five A's: weight = 15, value = 20.
  - Two A's, a B, and a C: weight = 17, value = 23.
  - A D and an E: weight = 17, value = 24.
- The question is, what is the best combination?



# Solving the Knapsack Problem

<b>item type:</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>weight:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>value</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>

- For example, suppose that the table above describes the types of items available at the store.
- The question is, what is the best combination?
- Can you propose any algorithm (even horribly slow) for finding the best combination?

# Solving the Knapsack Problem

<b>item type:</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>weight:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>value</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>

- One approach: consider all possible sets of items.
- Would that work?

# Solving the Knapsack Problem

item type:	A	B	C	D	E
weight:	3	4	7	8	9
value	4	5	10	11	13

- One approach: consider all possible sets of items.
- Would that work? **NO!!!**
  - We have unlimited quantities of each item.
  - Therefore the number of all possible set of items is infinite, so it takes infinite time to consider them.
- An algorithm that takes infinite time **IS NOT THE SAME THING** as an algorithm that is horribly slow.
  - Horribly slow algorithms eventually terminate, so mathematically they are valid solutions.
  - Algorithms that take infinite time never terminate, so they are mathematically not valid solutions.

# Solving the Knapsack Problem

- To use dynamic programming, we need to identify whether solving our problem can be done easily if we have already solved smaller problems.
- What would be a smaller problem?
  - Our original problem is: find the set of items with weight  $\leq W$  that has the most value.

# Solving the Knapsack Problem

- To use dynamic programming, we need to identify whether solving our problem can be done easily if we have already solved smaller problems.
- What would be a smaller problem?
  - Our original problem is: find the set of items with weight  $\leq W$  that has the most value.
- A smaller problem is: find the set of items with weight  $\leq W'$  that has the most value, where  $W' < W$ .
- If we have solved the problem for all  $W' < W$ , how can we use those solutions to solve the problem for  $W$ ?

# Solving the Knapsack Problem

- Our original problem is: find the set of items with weight  $\leq W$  that has the most value.
- A smaller problem is: find the set of items with weight  $\leq W'$  that has the most value, where  $W' < W$ .
- If we have solved the problem for all  $W' < W$ , how can we use those solutions to solve the problem for  $W$ ?

```
int knap(int W, int * weights, int * values):
```

```
{
```

```
    max_value = 0;
```

```
    For each type of item i:
```

```
        value = values[i] + knap(W - weights[i]);
```

```
        if (value > max_value) max_value = value.
```

```
}
```

solution to smaller problem



# How Does This Work?

- We want to compute: `knap(17)`.
- `knap(17)` can be computed from which values?

<b>item type:</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>weight:</b>	3	4	7	8	9
<b>value</b>	4	5	10	11	13

- `val_A = ???`
- `val_B = ???`
- `val_C = ???`
- `val_D = ???`
- `val_E = ???`

```
int knap(int W, int * weights, int * values):
{
    max_value = 0;
    For each type of item i:
        value = values[i] + knap(W - weights[i]);
        if (value > max_value)
            max_value = value;
}
```

# How Does This Work?

- We want to compute: `knap(17)`.
- `knap(17)` will be the maximum of these five values:

<b>item type:</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>weight:</b>	3	4	7	8	9
<b>value</b>	4	5	10	11	13

- $val\_A = 3 + \text{knap}(14)$
- $val\_B = 4 + \text{knap}(13)$
- $val\_C = 7 + \text{knap}(10)$
- $val\_D = 8 + \text{knap}(9)$
- $val\_E = 9 + \text{knap}(8)$

```
int knap(int W, int * weights, int * values):
{
    max_value = 0;
    For each type of item i:
        value = values[i] + knap(W - weights[i]);
        if (value > max_value)
            max_value = value;
}
```



# Recursive Solution for Knapsack

**pseudocode:**

```
int knap(int W, int * weights, int * values):  
{  
  max_value = 0;  
  For each type of item i:  
    value = values[i] + knap(W - weights[i], weights, values);  
    if (value > max_value)  
      max_value = value;  
  return max_value;  
}
```

**What is missing from this pseudocode if we want a complete solution?**

# Recursive Solution for Knapsack

pseudocode:

```
int knap(int W, int * weights, int * values):  
{  
    max_value = 0;  
    For each type of item i:  
        value = values[i] + knap(W - weights[i], weights, values);  
        if (value > max_value)  
            max_value = value;  
    return max_value;  
}
```

What is missing from this pseudocode if we want a complete solution?

The base case:  
 $\text{knap}(0) = 0$

# Recursive Solution for Knapsack

```
struct Items
{
    int number;
    char ** types;
    int * weights;
    int * values;
};
```

```
int knapsack(int max_weight, struct Items items)
{
    if (max_weight <= 0) return 0;
    int max_value = 0;
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < items.number; i++)
    {
        int rem = max_weight - items.weights[i];
        int value = items.values[i] + knapsack(rem, items);
        if (value > max_value) max_value = value;
    }
    return max_value;
}
```

# Recursive Solution for Knapsack

running time?

```
int knapsack(int max_weight, struct Items items)
{
    if (max_weight <= 0) return 0;
    int max_value = 0;
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < items.number; i++)
    {
        int rem = max_weight - items.weights[i];
        int value = items.values[i] + knapsack(rem, items);
        if (value > max_value) max_value = value;
    }
    return max_value;
}
```

# Recursive Solution for Knapsack

running time?

very slow  
(exponential)

How can we  
make it faster?

```
int knapsack(int max_weight, struct Items items)
{
    if (max_weight <= 0) return 0;
    int max_value = 0;
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < items.number; i++)
    {
        int rem = max_weight - items.weights[i];
        int value = items.values[i] + knapsack(rem, items);
        if (value > max_value) max_value = value;
    }
    return max_value;
}
```

# Bottom-Up Dynamic Programming for the Knapsack Problem

- Requirements for using dynamic programming:
  - The answer to our problem  $P$  can be easily obtained from answers to smaller problems.
  - We can order problems in a sequence  $(P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K)$  of reasonable size, so that:
    - $P_K$  is our original problem  $P$ .
    - The initial problems,  $P_0$  and possibly  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_R$  up to some  $R$ , are easy to solve (they are **base cases**).
    - For  $i > R$ , each  $P_i$  can be easily solved using solutions to  $P_0, \dots, P_{i-1}$ .
- If these requirements are met, we solve problem  $P$  as follows:
  - Create the sequence of problems  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K$ , such that  $P_K = P$ .
  - For  $i = 0$  to  $K$ , solve  $P_i$ .
  - Return solution for  $P_K$ .

How can we relate all this terminology to the Knapsack Problem?

# Bottom-Up Dynamic Programming for the Knapsack Problem

- Requirements for using dynamic programming:
  - The answer to our problem  $P$  can be easily obtained from answers to smaller problems. **Yes! Knapsack( $W$ ) uses answers for  $W-1, W-2, \dots, W-\text{max\_weight}$ .**
  - We can order problems in a sequence  $(P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_K)$  of reasonable size, so that:
    - $P_k$  is our original problem  $P$ .
    - The initial problems,  $P_0$  and possibly  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_R$  up to some  $R$ , are easy to solve (they are **base cases**).
    - For  $i > R$ , each  $P_i$  can be easily solved using solutions to  $P_0, \dots, P_{i-1}$ .
  - **Yes!**
    - $P_i$  is the problem of computing  $\text{Knapsack}(i)$ .
    - $P_W$  is our original problem, since we want to compute  $\text{Knapsack}(W)$ .
    - $P_0, P_1$  are base cases.
    - For  $i \geq 2$ ,  $\text{Knapsack}(i)$  is easy to solve given  $\text{Knapsack}(0), \text{Knapsack}(1), \dots, \text{Knapsack}(i-1)$ .

# Bottom-Up Solution

```
int knapsack(int max_weight, Items items)
```

- Create array of solutions.
- Base case:  $\text{solutions}[0] = 0$ .
- For each weight in  $\{1, 2, \dots, \text{max\_weight}\}$ 
  - $\text{max\_value} = 0$ .
  - For each item in items:
    - $\text{remainder} = \text{weight} - \text{item.weight}$ .
    - if ( $\text{remainder} < 0$ ) continue;
    - $\text{value} = \text{item.value} + \text{solutions}[\text{remainder}]$ .
    - If ( $\text{value} > \text{max\_value}$ )  $\text{max\_value} = \text{value}$ .
  - $\text{solutions}[\text{weight}] = \text{max\_value}$ .
- Return  $\text{solutions}[\text{max\_weight}]$ .



# Top-Down Solution

Top-level function (almost identical to helper function for Fibonacci top-down solution):

```
int knapsack(int max_weight, Items items)
```

- Create array of solutions.
- Initialize all values in solutions to "unknown".
- `result = helper_function(max_weight, items, solutions)`
- Free up the array of solutions.
- Return result.

# Top-Down Solution: Helper Function

```
int helper_function(int weight, Items items, int * solutions)
```

- `// Check if this problem has already been solved.`
- `if (solutions[weight] != "unknown") return solutions[weight].`
- `If (weight == 0) result = 0. // Base case`
- `Else:`
  - `result = 0.`
  - `For each item in items:`
    - `remainder = weight - item.weight.`
    - `if (remainder < 0) continue;`
    - `value = item.value + helper_function(remainder, items, solutions).`
    - `If (value > result) result = value.`
- `solutions[weight] = result. // Memoization`
- `Return result.`

# Performance Comparison

- Recursive version: (knapsack\_recursive.c)
  - Runs reasonably fast for max\_weight  $\leq 60$ .
  - Starts getting noticeably slower after that.
  - For max\_weight = 70 I gave up waiting.
- Bottom-up version: (knapsack\_bottom\_up.c)
  - Tried up to max\_weight = 100 million.
  - No problems, very fast.
  - Took 4 seconds for max\_weight = 100 million.
- Top-down version: (knapsack\_top\_down.c)
  - Very fast, but crashes around max\_weight = 97,000.
  - The system cannot handle that many recursive function calls.

# Limitation of All Three Solutions

- Each of the solutions returns a number.
- Is a single number all we want to answer our original problem?

# Limitation of All Three Solutions

- Each of the solutions returns a number.
- Is a single number all we want to answer our original problem?
  - No. Our original problem was to find the best set of items.
  - It is nice to know the best possible value we can achieve.
  - But, we also want to know the actual set of items that achieves that value.
- This will be left as a homework for you.

# Weighted Interval Scheduling (WIS)

- Suppose you are a plumber.
- You are offered  $N$  jobs.
- Each job has the following attributes:
  - **start**: the start time of the job.
  - **finish**: the finish time of the job.
  - **value**: the amount of money you get paid for that job.
- What is the best set of jobs you can take up?
  - You want to make the most money possible.
- Why can't you just take up all the jobs?

# Weighted Interval Scheduling (WIS)

- Suppose you are a plumber.
- You are offered  $N$  jobs.
- Each job has the following attributes:
  - **start**: the start time of the job.
  - **finish**: the finish time of the job.
  - **value**: the amount of money you get paid for that job.
- What is the best set of jobs you can take up?
  - You want to make the most money possible.
- Why can't you just take up all the jobs?
- Because you cannot take up two jobs that are overlapping.

# Example WIS Input

- We assume, for simplicity, that jobs have been sorted in ascending order of the finish time.
  - We have not learned yet good methods for sorting that we can use.
- If we take job A, we cannot take any other job that starts BEFORE job A finishes.
- Can we do both job 0 and job 1?
- Can we do both job 0 and job 2?

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10



# Example WIS Input

- We assume, for simplicity, that jobs have been sorted in ascending order of the finish time.
  - We have not learned yet good methods for sorting that we can use.
- If we take job A, we cannot take any other job that starts BEFORE job A finishes.
- Can we do both job 0 and job 1?
  - Yes.
- Can we do both job 0 and job 2?
  - No (they overlap).

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Example WIS Input

- A possible set of jobs we could take: 0, 1, 5, 10, 13.
- What is the value?
  - $3 + 5.5 + 4.5 + 6 + 6 = 25$ .
- Can you propose any algorithm (even horribly slow) for finding the best set of jobs?

<b>job ID</b>	<b>start</b>	<b>finish</b>	<b>value</b>
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Example WIS Input

- Simplest algorithm for finding the best subset of jobs:
  - Consider all possible subsets of jobs.
  - Ignore subsets with overlapping jobs.
  - Find the subset with the best total value.
- Time complexity? If we have  $N$  jobs, what is the total number of subsets of jobs?

<b>job ID</b>	<b>start</b>	<b>finish</b>	<b>value</b>
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Example WIS Input

- Simplest algorithm for finding the best subset of jobs:
  - Consider all possible subsets of jobs.
  - Ignore subsets with overlapping jobs.
  - Find the subset with the best total value.
- Time complexity? If we have N jobs, what is the total number of subsets of jobs?
  - Total number of subsets:  $2^N$ .
  - Exponential time complexity.

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

- To use dynamic programming, we must relate the solution to our problem to solutions to smaller problems.
- For example, consider job 14.
- What kind of problems that exclude job 14 would be relevant in solving the original problem, that includes job 14?

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

- We can easily solve the problem for jobs 0-14, given solutions to these two smaller problems:
- Problem 1: best set using jobs 0-13.
  - When job 14 is available, the best set using jobs 0-13 is still an option to us, although not necessarily the best one.
- Problem 2: best set using jobs 0-10.
  - Why is this problem relevant?

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

- We can easily solve the problem for jobs 0-14, given solutions to these two smaller problems:
- Problem 1: best set using jobs 0-13.
  - When job 14 is available, the best set using jobs 0-13 is still an option to us, although not necessarily the best one.
- Problem 2: best set using jobs 0-10.
  - Why is this problem relevant?
  - Because job 10 is the last job before job 14 that does NOT overlap with job 14.
  - Thus, job 14 can be ADDED to the solution for jobs 0-10.

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10

# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

- We can easily solve the problem for jobs 0-14, given solutions to these two smaller problems:
- Problem 1: best set using jobs 0-13.
- Problem 2: best set using jobs 0-10.
- The solution for jobs 0-14 is simply the best of these two options:
  - Best set using jobs 0-13.
  - Best set using jobs 0-10, plus job 14.
- How can we write this solution in pseudocode?

job ID	start	finish	value
0	1	4.5	3
1	5.3	6.1	5.5
2	3	7.2	2
3	6	8	10
4	0.5	10	7
5	7	12.5	4.5
6	8.2	13	3
7	9	15.3	7
8	10.5	16	2
9	9	17.5	9
10	13	19	6
11	16	20.5	8
12	17	23	12
13	20.2	24.1	6
14	19	25	10



# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

- Step 1: to make our life easier, we will insert a zero job at the beginning. The zero job:

- Starts at time zero
- Finishes at time zero.
- Has zero value.

- Step 2: we need to preprocess jobs, so that for each job  $i$  we compute:

- **last [i]** = the index of the last job preceding job  $i$  that does NOT overlap with job  $i$ .

job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0
1	1	4.5	3
2	5.3	6.1	5.5
3	3	7.2	2
4	6	8	10
5	0.5	10	7
6	7	12.5	4.5
7	8.2	13	3
8	9	15.3	7
9	10.5	16	2
10	9	17.5	9
11	13	19	6
12	16	20.5	8
13	17	23	12
14	20.2	24.1	6
15	19	25	10

# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

- Step 1: to make our life easier, we will insert a zero job at the beginning. The zero job:

- Starts at time zero
- Finishes at time zero.
- Has zero value.

- Step 2: we need to preprocess jobs, so that for each job  $i$  we compute:

- **last [i]** = the index of the last job preceding job  $i$  that does NOT overlap with job  $i$ .

last	job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	4.5	3
1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
0	3	3	7.2	2
1	4	6	8	10
0	5	0.5	10	7
2	6	7	12.5	4.5
4	7	8.2	13	3
4	8	9	15.3	7
5	9	10.5	16	2
4	10	9	17.5	9
7	11	13	19	6
9	12	16	20.5	8
9	13	17	23	12
11	14	20.2	24.1	6
11	15	19	25	10

# Solving WIS With Dynamic Programming

float wis(jobs, last)

- N = number of jobs.
- Initialize solutions array.
- solutions[0] = 0.
- For (i = 1 to N)
  - S1 = solutions[i-1].
  - L = last[i].
  - SL = solutions[L].
  - S2 = SL + jobs[i].value.
  - solutions[i] = max(S1, S2).
- Return solutions[N];

	last	job ID	start	finish	value
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	1	1	4.5	3
	1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
	0	3	3	7.2	2
	1	4	6	8	10
	0	5	0.5	10	7
	2	6	7	12.5	4.5
	4	7	8.2	13	3
	4	8	9	15.3	7
	5	9	10.5	16	2
	4	10	9	17.5	9
	7	11	13	19	6
	9	12	16	20.5	8
	9	13	17	23	12
	11	14	20.2	24.1	6
	11	15	19	25	10

# Backtracking

- As in our solution to the knapsack problem, the pseudocode we just saw returns a number:
  - The best total value we can achieve.
- In addition to the best value, we also want to know the set of jobs that achieves that value.
- This is a general issue in dynamic programming.
- How can we address it?

# Backtracking

- As in our solution to the knapsack problem, the pseudocode we just saw returns a number:
  - The best total value we can achieve.
- In addition to the best value, we also want to know the set of jobs that achieves that value.
- This is a general issue in dynamic programming.
- There is a general solution, called backtracking.
- The key idea is:
  - In DP the final solution is always built from smaller solutions.
  - At each smaller problem, we have to choose which (even smaller) solutions to use for solving that problem.
  - We must record, for each smaller problem, the choice we made.
  - At the end, we **backtrack** and recover the individual decisions that led to the best solution.

# Backtracking for the WIS Solution

- First of all, what should the function return?

# Backtracking for the WIS Solution

- First of all, what should the function return?
  - The best value we can achieve.
  - The set of intervals that achieves that value.
- How can we make the function return both these things?
- The solution that will be preferred throughout the course:
  - Define a Result structure containing as many member variables as we need to store in the result.
  - Make the function return an object of that structure.

# Backtracking for the WIS Solution

- First of all, what should the function return?
  - The best value we can achieve.
  - The set of intervals that achieves that value.

```
struct WIS_result  
{  
    float value;  
    list set;  
};
```

```
struct WIS_result wis(struct Intervals intervals)
```



# Backtracking Solution

Result wis(jobs, last)

- N = number of jobs.
- solutions[0] = 0.
- For (i = 1 to N)
  - L = last[i].
  - SL = solutions[L].
  - S1 = solutions[i-1].
  - S2 = SL + jobs[i].value.
  - solutions[i] = max(S1, S2).
- How can we keep track of the decisions we make?

last	job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	4.5	3
1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
0	3	3	7.2	2
1	4	6	8	10
0	5	0.5	10	7
2	6	7	12.5	4.5
4	7	8.2	13	3
4	8	9	15.3	7
5	9	10.5	16	2
4	10	9	17.5	9
7	11	13	19	6
9	12	16	20.5	8
9	13	17	23	12
11	14	20.2	24.1	6
11	15	19	25	10

# Backtracking Solution

Result wis(jobs, last)

- N = number of jobs.
- solutions[0] = 0.
- For (i = 1 to N)
  - L = last[i].
  - SL = solutions[L].
  - S1 = solutions[i-1].
  - S2 = SL + jobs[i].value.
  - solutions[i] = max(S1, S2).
- How can we keep track of the decisions we make?
- Remember the last job of each solution.

last	job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	4.5	3
1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
0	3	3	7.2	2
1	4	6	8	10
0	5	0.5	10	7
2	6	7	12.5	4.5
4	7	8.2	13	3
4	8	9	15.3	7
5	9	10.5	16	2
4	10	9	17.5	9
7	11	13	19	6
9	12	16	20.5	8
9	13	17	23	12
11	14	20.2	24.1	6
11	15	19	25	10

# Backtracking Solution

Result wis(jobs, last)

- N = number of jobs.
- solutions[0] = 0.
- **used[0] = 0.**
- For (i = 1 to N)
  - L = last[i].
  - SL = solutions[L].
  - S1 = solutions[i-1].
  - S2 = SL + jobs[i].value.
  - solutions[i] = max(S1, S2).
  - **If S2 > S1 then used[i] = i.**
  - **Else used[i] = used[i-1].**

last	job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	4.5	3
1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
0	3	3	7.2	2
1	4	6	8	10
0	5	0.5	10	7
2	6	7	12.5	4.5
4	7	8.2	13	3
4	8	9	15.3	7
5	9	10.5	16	2
4	10	9	17.5	9
7	11	13	19	6
9	12	16	20.5	8
9	13	17	23	12
11	14	20.2	24.1	6
11	15	19	25	10

# Backtracking Solution

- // backtracking part
- list set = new List.
- counter = used[N].
- while(counter != 0)
  - job = jobs[counter].
  - insertAtBeginning(set, job).
  - counter = ???
- WIS\_result result.
- result.value = solutions[N].
- result.set = set.
- return result.

last	job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	4.5	3
1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
0	3	3	7.2	2
1	4	6	8	10
0	5	0.5	10	7
2	6	7	12.5	4.5
4	7	8.2	13	3
4	8	9	15.3	7
5	9	10.5	16	2
4	10	9	17.5	9
7	11	13	19	6
9	12	16	20.5	8
9	13	17	23	12
11	14	20.2	24.1	6
11	15	19	25	10

# Backtracking Solution

- // backtracking part
- list set = new List.
- counter = used[N].
- while(counter != 0)
  - job = jobs[counter].
  - insertAtBeginning(set, job).
  - counter = used[last[counter] ].
- WIS\_result result.
- result.value = solutions[N].
- result.set = set.
- return result.

last	job ID	start	finish	value
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	4.5	3
1	2	5.3	6.1	5.5
0	3	3	7.2	2
1	4	6	8	10
0	5	0.5	10	7
2	6	7	12.5	4.5
4	7	8.2	13	3
4	8	9	15.3	7
5	9	10.5	16	2
4	10	9	17.5	9
7	11	13	19	6
9	12	16	20.5	8
9	13	17	23	12
11	14	20.2	24.1	6
11	15	19	25	10

# Matrix Multiplication: Review

- Suppose that  $A_1$  is of size  $S_1 \times S_2$ , and  $A_2$  is of size  $S_2 \times S_3$ .
- What is the time complexity of computing  $A_1 * A_2$ ?
- What is the size of the result?

# Matrix Multiplication: Review

- Suppose that  $A_1$  is of size  $S_1 \times S_2$ , and  $A_2$  is of size  $S_2 \times S_3$ .
- What is the time complexity of computing  $A_1 * A_2$ ?
- What is the size of the result?  $S_1 \times S_3$ .
- Each number in the result is computed in  $O(S_2)$  time by:
  - multiplying  $S_2$  pairs of numbers.
  - adding  $S_2$  numbers.
- Overall time complexity:  $O(S_1 * S_2 * S_3)$ .

# Optimal Ordering for Matrix Multiplication

- Suppose that we need to do a sequence of matrix multiplications:
  - result =  $A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$
- The number of rows for  $A_i$  must equal the number of columns for  $A_{i+1}$ .
- What is the time complexity for performing this sequence of multiplications?



# Optimal Ordering for Matrix Multiplication

- Suppose that we need to do a sequence of matrix multiplications:
  - $\text{result} = A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$
- The number of rows for  $A_i$  must equal the number of columns for  $A_{i+1}$ .
- What is the time complexity for performing this sequence of multiplications?
- The answer is: it depends on the order in which we perform the multiplications.

# An Example

- Suppose:
  - $A_1$  is  $17 \times 2$ .
  - $A_2$  is  $2 \times 35$ .
  - $A_3$  is  $35 \times 4$ .
- $(A_1 * A_2) * A_3$ :
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- $A_1 * (A_2 * A_3)$ :

# An Example

- Suppose:
  - $A_1$  is  $17 \times 2$ .
  - $A_2$  is  $2 \times 35$ .
  - $A_3$  is  $35 \times 4$ .
- $(A_1 * A_2) * A_3$ :
  - $17 * 2 * 35 = 1190$  multiplications and additions to compute  $A_1 * A_2$ .
  - $17 * 35 * 4 = 2380$  multiplications and additions to compute multiplying the result of  $(A_1 * A_2)$  with  $A_3$ .
  - Total: 3570 multiplications and additions.
- $A_1 * (A_2 * A_3)$ :
  - $2 * 35 * 4 = 280$  multiplications and additions to compute  $A_2 * A_3$ .
  - $17 * 2 * 4 = 136$  multiplications and additions to compute multiplying  $A_1$  with the result of  $(A_2 * A_3)$ .
  - Total: 416 multiplications and additions.

# Adaptation to Dynamic Programming

- Suppose that we need to do a sequence of matrix multiplications:
  - $\text{result} = A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$
- To figure out if and how we can use dynamic programming, we must address the standard two questions we always need to address for dynamic programming:
  1. Can we define a set of smaller problems, such that the solutions to those problems make it easy to solve the original problem?
  2. Can we arrange those smaller problems in a sequence **of reasonable size**, so that each problem in that sequence **only depends on problems that come earlier** in the sequence?

# Defining Smaller Problems

1. Can we define a set of smaller problems, whose solutions make it easy to solve the original problem?
  - Original problem: optimal ordering for  $A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$
  - Yes! Suppose that, for every  $i$  between 1 and  $K-1$  we know:
    - The best order (and best cost) for multiplying matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_i$ .
    - The best order (and best cost) for multiplying matrices  $A_{i+1}, \dots, A_K$ .
  - Then, for every such  $i$ , we obtain a possible solution for our original problem:
    - Multiply matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_i$  in the best order. Let  $C_1$  be the cost of that.
    - Multiply matrices  $A_{i+1}, \dots, A_K$  in the best order. Let  $C_2$  be the cost of that.
    - Compute  $(A_1 * \dots * A_i) * (A_{i+1} * \dots * A_K)$ . Let  $C_3$  be the cost of that.
      - $C_3 = \text{rows of } (A_1 * \dots * A_i) * \text{cols of } (A_1 * \dots * A_i) * \text{cols of } (A_{i+1} * \dots * A_K)$ .  
= rows of  $A_1 * \dots * A_i$  \* cols of  $A_i$  \* cols of  $A_K$
    - Total cost of this solution =  $C_1 + C_2 + C_3$ .

# Defining Smaller Problems

1. Can we define a set of smaller problems, whose solutions make it easy to solve the original problem?
  - Original problem: optimal ordering for  $A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$
  - Yes! Suppose that, for every  $i$  between 1 and  $K-1$  we know:
    - The best order (and best cost) for multiplying matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_i$ .
    - The best order (and best cost) for multiplying matrices  $A_{i+1}, \dots, A_K$ .
  - Then, for every such  $i$ , we obtain a possible solution.
  - We just need to compute the cost of each of those solutions, and choose the smallest cost.
  - Next question:
2. Can we arrange those smaller problems in a sequence **of reasonable size**, so that each problem in that sequence **only depends on problems that come earlier** in the sequence?

# Defining Smaller Problems

2. Can we arrange those smaller problems in a sequence **of reasonable size**, so that each problem in that sequence **only depends on problems that come earlier** in the sequence?
  - To compute answer for  $A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$ :  
For  $i = 1, \dots, K-1$ , we had to consider solutions for:
    - $A_1, \dots, A_i$ .
    - $A_{i+1}, \dots, A_K$ .
  - So, what is the set of all problems we must solve?

# Defining Smaller Problems

2. Can we arrange those smaller problems in a sequence **of reasonable size**, so that each problem in that sequence **only depends on problems that come earlier** in the sequence?
  - To compute answer for  $A_1 * A_2 * A_3 * \dots * A_K$ :  
For  $i = 1, \dots, K-1$ , we had to consider solutions for:
    - $A_1, \dots, A_i$ .
    - $A_{i+1}, \dots, A_K$ .
  - So, what is the set of all problems we must solve?
  - For  $M = 1, \dots, K$ .
    - For  $N = 1, \dots, M$ .
      - Compute the best ordering for  $A_N * \dots * A_M$ .
  - What is the number of problems we need to solve? Is the size reasonable?
    - We must solve  $\Theta(K^2)$  problems. We consider this a reasonable number.



# Defining Smaller Problems

- The set of all problems we must solve:
- For  $M = 1, \dots, K$ .
  - For  $N = 1, \dots, M$ .
    - Compute the best ordering for  $A_N * \dots * A_M$ .
- What is the order in which we must solve these problems?

# Defining Smaller Problems

- The set of all problems we must solve, in the correct order:
- For  $M = 1, \dots, K$ .
  - For  $N = M, \dots, 1$ .
    - Compute the best ordering for  $A_N * \dots * A_M$ .
- $N$  must go from  $M$  to  $1$ , NOT the other way around.
- Why? Because, given  $M$ , the larger the  $N$  is, the smaller the problem is of computing the best ordering for  $A_N * \dots * A_M$ .

# Solving These Problems

- For  $M = 1, \dots, K$ .
  - For  $N = M, \dots, 1$ .
    - Compute the best ordering for  $A_N * \dots * A_M$ .
- What are the base cases?
- $N = M$ .
  - $\text{costs}[N][M] = 0$ .
- $N = M - 1$ .
  - $\text{costs}[N][M] = \text{rows}(A_N) * \text{cols}(A_N) * \text{cols}(A_M)$ .
- Solution for the recursive case:

# Solving These Problems

- For  $M = 1, \dots, K$ .
  - For  $N = M, \dots, 1$ .
    - Compute the best ordering for  $A_N * \dots * A_M$ .
- Solution for the recursive case:
- $\text{minimum\_cost} = 0$
- For  $R = N, \dots, M-1$ :
  - $\text{cost1} = \text{costs}[N][R]$
  - $\text{cost2} = \text{costs}[R+1][M]$
  - $\text{cost3} = \text{rows}(A_N) * \text{cols}(A_R) * \text{cols}(A_M)$
  - $\text{cost} = \text{cost1} + \text{cost2} + \text{cost3}$
  - if  $(\text{cost} < \text{minimum\_cost})$   $\text{minimum\_cost} = \text{cost}$
- $\text{costs}[N][M] = \text{minimum\_cost}$

# The Edit Distance

- Suppose A and B are two strings.
- By applying insertions, deletions, and substitutions, we can always convert A to B.
- Insertion example: we insert an 'r' at position 2, to convert "cat" to "cart".
- Deletion example: we delete the 'r' at position 2, to convert "cart" to "cat".
- Substitution example: we replace the 'o' at position 1 with an 'i', to convert "dog" to "dig".
- Note: each insertion/deletion/substitution inserts, deletes, or changes **only one** character, NOT multiple characters.

# The Edit Distance

- For example, to convert "chicken" to "ticket":
- One solution:
  - Substitute 'c' with 't'.
  - Delete 'h'.
  - Replace 'n' with 't'.
  - Total: three operations.
- Another solution:
  - Delete 'c'.
  - Substitute 'h' with 't'.
  - Replace 'n' with 't'.
  - Total: three operations.

# The Edit Distance

- Question: given two strings A and B, what is the smallest number of operations we need in order to convert A to B?
- The answer is called the **edit distance** between A and B.
- This distance, and variations, have significant applications in various fields, including bioinformatics and pattern recognition.

# Visualizing the Edit Distance

- Assignment preview: you will have to write code that produces such output.
- Edit distance between "chicken" and "ticket" = ?



# Visualizing the Edit Distance

- Assignment preview: you will have to write code that produces such output.
- Edit distance between "chicken" and "ticket" = 3

```
c h i c k e n  
t - i c k e t  
x x . . . x
```

- Three operations:
  - Substitution: 'c' with 't'.
  - Insertion: 'h'.
  - Substitution: 'n' with 't'.

# Visualizing the Edit Distance

- Edit distance between "lazy" and "crazy" = ?

# Visualizing the Edit Distance

- Edit distance between "lazy" and "crazy" = 2

**l** - a z y

**c r** a z y

**x x . . .**

- Two operations:
  - Substitution: 'l' with 'c'.
  - Insertion: 'r'.

# Visualizing the Edit Distance

- Edit distance between "intimidation" and "immigration" = ?

# Visualizing the Edit Distance

- Edit distance between "intimidation" and "immigration" = 5

i n t i m i d - a t i o n  
i - - m m i g r a t i o n  
. x x x . . x x . . . .

- Five operations:
  - Deletion: 'n'.
  - Deletion: 't'.
  - Substitution: 'i' with 'm'.
  - Substitution: 'd' with 'g'.
  - Insertion: 'r'.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Assignment preview: you will have to implement this.
- What is the edit distance between:
  - GATTACACCGTCTCGGGCATCCATAATGG
  - CATTATAGGTGAACTTGCGCGTTATGC
- Unlike previous examples, here the answer is not obvious.
- The two strings above are (very small) examples of DNA sequences, using the four DNA letters: ACGT.
- In practice, the sequences may have thousands or millions of letters.
- We need an algorithm for computing the edit distance between two strings.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- To find a dynamic programming solution, we must find a sequence of problems such that:
  - Each problem in the sequence can be easily solved given solutions to the previous problems.
  - The number of problems in the sequence is not too large (e.g., not exponential).
- Any ideas?
- Given strings A and B, can you identify smaller problems that are related to computing the edit distance between A and B?

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Notation:
  - $S[i, \dots, j]$  is the substring of  $S$  that includes all letters from position  $i$  to position  $j$ .
  - $|S|$  indicates the length of string  $S$ .
- Using this notation:
  - $A = A[0, \dots, |A|-1]$
  - $B = B[0, \dots, |B|-1]$
- The solution for  $\text{edit\_distance}(A, B)$  depends on the solutions to three smaller problems:
  - $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-1], B[0, \dots, |B|-2])$
  - $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-1])$
  - $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-2])$



# Computing the Edit Distance

- The solution for  $\text{edit\_distance}(A, B)$  depends on the solutions to three smaller problems:
  - Problem 1:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-1], B[0, \dots, |B|-2])$ 
    - Edit distance from A to B, excluding the last letter of B.
    - We can insert the last letter of B to that solution.
  - Example:
    - A = "intimidation".  $|A| = 12$ .
    - B = "immigration".  $|B| = 11$ .
  - $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, 11], B[0, \dots, 9]) = 6$
- i n t i m i d - a t i o n  
i - - m m i g r a t i o -
- From this, we obtain a solution with cost 7.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Problem 2:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-1])$ 
  - Edit distance from A to B, excluding the last letter of A.
  - We can insert the last letter of A to that solution.

- Example:

- A = "intimidation".  $|A| = 12$ .
- B = "immigration".  $|B| = 11$ .

- $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, 10], B[0, \dots, 10]) = 6$

i n t i m i d - a t i o -  
i - - m m i g r a t i o n

- This solution converts "intimidatio" to "immigration".
- Using one more deletion (of the final 'n' of "intimidation"), we convert "intimidation" to "immigration" with cost 7.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Problem 3:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-2])$ 
  - Edit distance from A to B, excluding the last letter of both A and B.

- Example:

- A = "intimidation".  $|A| = 12$ .

- B = "immigration".  $|B| = 11$ .

- $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, 10], B[0, \dots, 9]) = 5$

i n t i m i d - a t i o

i - - m m i g r a t i o

- This solution converts "intimidatio" to "immigratio".
- The same solution converts "intimidation" to "immigration", because both words have the same last letter.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Problem 3:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-2])$ 
  - Edit distance from A to B, excluding the last letter of both A and B.

- Example:

- A = "nation".  $|A| = 6$ .

- B = "patios".  $|B| = 6$ .

- $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, 10], B[0, \dots, 9]) = 1$

**n** a t i o

**p** a t i o

- This solution converts "natio" to "patio".
- The same solution, plus one substitution ('n' with 's') converts "nation" to "patios", with cost 2.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Summary:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A, B)$  is the smallest of the following three:
  - 1:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-1], B[0, \dots, |B|-2]) + ?$
  - 2:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-1]) + ?$
  - 3:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-2]) + ?$

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Summary:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A, B)$  is the smallest of the following three:
  - 1:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-1], B[0, \dots, |B|-2]) + 1$
  - 2:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-1]) + 1$
  - 3: either  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-2])$ .
    - If the last letter of A is **the same** as the last letter of B.
  - or  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, |A|-2], B[0, \dots, |B|-2]) + 1$ .
    - If the last letter of A is **not the same** as the last letter of B.

# Computing the Edit Distance

- What sequence of problems do we need to solve in order to compute `edit_distance(A, B)`?

# Computing the Edit Distance

- What sequence of problems do we need to solve in order to compute `edit_distance(A, B)`?
- For each  $i$  in  $0, \dots, |A|-1$ 
  - For each  $j$  in  $0, \dots, |B|-1$ 
    - Compute `edit_distance(A[0, ..., i], B[0, ..., j])`.
- The total number of problems we need to solve is  $|A| * |B|$ , which is manageable.
- What are the base cases?



# Computing the Edit Distance

- Base case 1:  $\text{edit\_distance}("", "") = 0$ .
  - The edit distance between two empty strings.
- Base case 2:  $\text{edit\_distance}("", B[0, \dots, j]) = j+1$ .
- Base case 3:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i], "") = i+1$ .

# Computing the Edit Distance

- For convenience, we define  $A[0, -1] = ""$ ,  $B[0, -1] = ""$ .
- Then, we can rewrite the previous base cases like this:
- Base case 1:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, -1], B[0, -1]) = 0$ .
  - The edit distance between two empty strings.
- Base case 2:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, -1], B[0, \dots, j]) = j+1$ .
- Base case 3:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i], B[0, -1]) = i+1$ .

# Computing the Edit Distance

- Recursive case: if  $i \geq 0, j \geq 0$ :
- $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i], B[0, \dots, j]) =$  smallest of these three values:
  - 1:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i-1], B[0, \dots, j]) + 1$
  - 2:  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i], B[0, \dots, j-1]) + 1$
  - 3: either  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i-1], B[0, \dots, j-1])$ .
    - If  $A[i] == B[j]$ .
  - or  $\text{edit\_distance}(A[0, \dots, i-1], B[0, \dots, j-1]) + 1$ .
    - If  $A[i] != B[j]$ .